Quantitative and Qualitative Losses in Paddy, Maize and Greengram Stored under Household Conditions in Anuradhapura District of Sri Lanka


Abstract

Substantial losses are common due to various reasons of durable crops during storage, which threatens economy, health and food security. Despite the frequent reports on post-harvest losses of paddy and other cereals throughout Sri Lanka, a comprehensive study has not been conducted in Anuradhapura district in recent past to assess storage losses of aforesaid commodities. Objectives of this study were to find out main types of durable crops stored following harvest, and their quantitative and qualitative losses incurred during storage period at the household level in Anuradhapura district of Sri Lanka. Data were collected throughout Anuradhapura district representing all 22 divisional secretariats. The main stored commodities were paddy, maize and greengram. The storage period varied from 4 to 12 months. The storage losses of Nadu and Samba rice varietal types respectively were highest in Palagala and Kekirawa, whereas losses were minimum in Rambewa and Galenbindunuwewa. The main qualitative losses were the presence of damaged seeds, grain flour/dust, grains without kernel and faecal matter. The study highlights that severe quantitative and qualitative losses occur during storage of rice, maize and greengram, and appropriate remedial measures are needed to be developed to minimize the said losses ensuring food security.
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1. Introduction

As the production of cereals is seasonal, the excessive production needs to be stored to meet the demand during off-season (Proctor 1994; Dowell and Dowell 2017). Numerous types of quantitative and qualitative deterioration of a food crop occur from harvest/production until consumption. Those damage reduce the nutrient content, caloric value, edibility, and consumer acceptability of food (Kader 2002; Hagstrum and Subramanyam 2006). The various losses occurred during storage of food eventually cause low marketability and escalates the prices of food (Zorya et al. 2011). Estimates by the Food and Agriculture Organization of U.N. indicate the global loss of about 1.3 billion tonnes of food annually (Gustavson et al. 2011). In developing countries, the losses of stored food products can be high as 50% of total production (Wijayaratne et al. 2018). In Sri Lanka, approximately LKR 18-20 billion is lost annually due to post-harvest losses of rice and other durable crops (Wijayaratne 2020). Significant losses occur in the unmilled rice, other cereals and pulses during operations such as harvesting, threshing, winnowing, bagging, transportation, storage and processing before reaching to consumers. In Sri Lanka, the post-harvest losses of paddy reach approximately 15%, which also include a storage loss of 4-6% (Palipane 2000; Wijayaratne et al. 2009). Anuradhapura district is a major growing area for rice and other grains in Sri Lanka. Even though, substantial losses of food are frequently reported (Wijayaratne and Rajapakse 2015), no recent update is available with respective to the stored-product losses of durable agricultural commodities in Anuradhapura district. Hence, the objectives of this study were to identify the types of major durable crops stored, proportion of storage, and the types of losses occurred during storage in Anuradhapura district of Sri Lanka.

2. Materials and Methods

Data Collection

The data were collected by a survey conducted throughout the Anuradhapura district representing all 22 divisional secretariats: Rambewa, Medawachchiya, Mihintale, Kahatagasdigiyya, Thirappane, Kekirawa, Nachchaduwa, Thambutthegama, Galnaewa, Thalawa, Nuwaragampalatha-Central, Nuwaragampalatha-East, Rajanganaya, Nochchiyagama, Galenbindunuwewa, Palugaswewa, Palagala, Ipalogama, Horowpothana, Padaviya, Vilachchiya, Kebithigollawa. From one divisional secretariat, twenty (20) farmer families were selected. In total, 440 farmer families were used to collect the data. These farmer families were physically met and interviewed to gather the required information. A structured questionnaire was designed to collect the information to meet the objectives. Accordingly, the types of crops grown, yield obtained from each crop, amount of crop stored, amount lost during storage, different types of damage observed during storage and its quantity were collected. The data were collected during 2015/2016 Maha season.

Statistical analyses

Data collected from each DS division with respective of amount of each crop harvested, amount stored, types of losses experienced, quantity of each loss during storage period were
analysed to find the mean values of each parameter. Quantitative losses were analysed as percentage of storage loss with respective to the stored quantity and presented descriptively.

3. Results and Discussion

**Stored Commodities**

Storing of remaining portion of the crop yield following consumption and/or selling was found out be quite common. As a storage commodity, unmilled rice dominated over other crops (Figs. 1-4). Unmilled rice was stored in all the DS divisions in Anuradhapura district. Both, *Nodu* and *Samba* rice variety types were found in stored lots. Maize was stored in five DS divisions (*Galenbindunuwewa, Horowpothana, Kahatagasdigiliya, Rambewa*, and Vilachchiya) while greengram was found in stores in two DS divisions only (*Rajanganaya* and *Rambewa*).

**Storage Status of Commodities**

There was a substantial variation between the DS divisions in the proportion of unmilled rice stored following harvest. For rice variety type *Nodu*, percentage stored varied from 24.9% (in *Padaviya*) to 78.5% (in *Mihintale*) (Fig. 1). For rice variety type *Samba*, the percentage stored varied from 13.9% (in *Padaviya*) to 71.5% (in *Palagala*) (Fig. 2). Contrasting to a previous study that reported storage of 30-50% of the total unmilled rice production by Sri Lankan farmers (Gunaratna and Karunaratne 2009), the percentage stored range was broader. However, in the present study, mean percentage of unmilled rice stored in Anuradhapura district was found to be lower than that previous study. Compared with unmilled rice, the number of DS divisions stored greengram or maize after harvesting was relatively lower. Furthermore, the percentage stored with respective to the production was also lower in both maize and greengram compared to unmilled rice. In maize, percentage stored varied from 3.3% (in *Vilachchiya*) to 33.6% (in *Rambewa*) (Fig. 3). For Greengram, storage was 7% in *Rajanganaya* and 52.5% in *Rambewa* (Fig. 4).

The percentage loss of paddy during the storage was relatively similar for two rice variety types irrespective of the DS divisions. For rice variety type *Nodu*, percentage storage losses ranged from 2.6% (in *Rambewa*) to 8.23% (in *Palagala*) (Fig. 5). The rice variety type *Samba*, percentage storage losses ranged from 2.6% (in *Galenbindunuwewa*) to 13% (in *Kekirawa*) (Fig. 6). However, there were no significant differences between DS divisions for the percentage loss of given rice variety types (data not shown). This study revealed that on average, the storage loss of unmilled rice in Anuradhapura district is 5.4%. This is closely in agreement with Palipane (2000), who recorded 4 - 6% storage loss of unmilled rice in Sri Lanka. Qayyum (1974) recorded 2-10% storage loss of unmilled rice in Pakistan. Furthermore, Palipane (2000) reported that among many factors that cause post-harvest losses, insects claim the major responsibility. Fernando et al. (1988) reported that insects cause up to 8.8% loss in unmilled storage. Future research is needed to investigate the contribution of these factors to the storage loss of grains. The percentage loss of maize did not exceed 5% except in *Rambewa*, which recorded 13.3% loss at storage (Fig. 7). However, there were no significant differences between the losses in 4 DS divisions (data not
Figure 1. Percentage (mean±SE) of rice variety type *Nadu* (unmilled) stored following harvest in different DS divisions of Anuradhapura district.

Figure 2. Percentage (mean±SE) of rice variety type *Samba* (unmilled) stored following harvest in different DS divisions of Anuradhapura district.

Figure 3. Percentage (mean±SE) of maize stored following harvest in different DS divisions of Anuradhapura district.

Figure 4. Percentage (mean±SE) of greengram stored following harvest in different DS divisions of Anuradhapura district.
Figure 5. Percentage loss (mean±SE) of paddy (variety Nadu) during storage in different DS divisions of Anuradhapura district.

Figure 6. Percentage loss (mean±SE) of paddy (variety Samba) during storage in different DS divisions of Anuradhapura district.

Figure 7. Percentage loss (mean±SE) of maize during storage in different DS divisions of Anuradhapura district.

Figure 8. Percentage of farmer families experienced damaged seeds in stored paddy.
Figure 9. Percentages of farmer families experienced grain flour/dust in stored paddy

Figure 10. Percentage of farmer families experienced unfilled/empty seeds in stored paddy

Figure 11. Percentage of farm families experienced faecal matter in stored paddy

Figure 12. Percentage of farmer families experienced qualitative losses of stored maize
not shown). This is comparable with Qayyum (1974) reported that drying and storage loss of maize in Pakistan is 2-10%.

**Qualitative Losses of Stored Commodities**

Four types of qualitative losses were found in stored commodities. These were damaged seeds, grain flour, unfilled grains and presence of faecal matter. While the apparent cause for these conditions being the activities of insects, rodents and moulds, the future research should be designed to find the exact reason/s underlying.

The highest percentage of damaged rice seeds was recorded in Kekirawa and Palagala (85%) whereas the lowest percentage was recorded in Galenbindunuwewa (24%) (Fig. 8). The highest and lowest percentages of grain flour/dust in stored rice were recorded in Padaviya (86%) and Nuwaragampalatha East (35%), respectively (Fig. 9). The highest empty grains percentage was recorded in Vilachchiya (100%) whereas the lowest was recorded in Padaviya (19%) (Fig. 10). At least, 75% of rice stored in all the DS divisions were contaminated with faecal matter (Fig. 11).

Previous studies conducted in Sri Lanka have revealed that nearly 80% of the storage losses of grains are due to insect attack. These losses cause primarily loss of weight, nutrients and germinability, occurrence of bad smell and taste, changes of colour, clump of grains, and growth of fungus. These result in economic loss due to reduced market value (Wijayaratne and Rajapakse 2015).

In maize, the qualitative damage was substantial as the mean percentage loss is approximately 33%. The percentages of damaged seeds and grain flour/dust were either equal or higher than 67% extending to 100% loss in certain DS divisions. Furthermore, 100% of farmer families experienced faecal matter in grains (Fig. 12) indicating the requirement of proper sanitation practices during post-harvest processing of durable commodities ensuring food safety.

Even though the qualitative losses of greengram were reported only in Rajanganaya and Rambewa, while the reported losses were exceeding the minimum of 50%. Damaged seeds and grain flour/dust were the common form of losses (Fig. 13). The presence of faecal matter experienced by 25% of the families reiterate the importance of adopting hygienic practices in post-harvest operations.

**4. Conclusions**

The main durable crops stored following harvest by the farmers in Anuradhapura district include rice, maize and greengram. The percentage of crops stored varied with the crop and also across the DS divisions. Both quantitative and qualitative losses and differences in their percentage of losses were observed in different DS divisions. Future research should be designed to identify the causative factors for the
losses occurred and the reasons for the differences between the DS divisions on the losses occurred in durable agricultural commodities during storage.
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